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Abstract. Stereoscopic medical videos are recorded, e.g., in stereo endoscopy or during video recording medical/dental operations. This paper examines quality issues in the recorded stereoscopic medical videos, as insufficient quality may induce visual fatigue to doctors. No attention has been paid to stereo quality and ensuing fatigue issues in the scientific literature so far. In this work, two of the most commonly encountered quality issues in stereoscopic data, namely Stereoscopic Window Violations and Bent Windows, were searched for in stereo endoscopic medical videos. Furthermore, an additional stereo quality issue encountered in dental operation videos, namely Excessive Disparity, was detected and fixed. The conducted experiments prove the existence of such quality issues in stereoscopic medical data and highlight the need for their detection and correction.
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1 Introduction

Recent technological advances in stereo cameras, microcameras, fiber optic devices and computer vision algorithms have gradually led to a new era in the video recording of preoperative as well as intraoperative medical operations. Such recordings allow the estimation of tissue surface geometry, tissue deformation recovery and help in accurate decision-making in Minimally Invasive Surgery (MIS).¹

Maximally stable extremal regions (MSER), detected using the lowest image intensity as the starting point along with image gradient features, are employed as an aid to salient landmark selection on stereo laparoscopic data.² Feature matching is subsequently performed and 3D point reconstruction as well as temporal tracking are accomplished based on epipolar constraints. MSER and image gradient related features are also leveraged in a GPU implemented system,³ thus enabling the accurate combination of preoperative and intraoperative endoscopic images for better tissue surface geometry estimation. Edge-based snake segmentation of the produced phantom/available surgical data is performed, followed by manual segmentation, surface mesh simplification techniques and point tracking through linear node interpolation. Stereoscopic preoperative and multi-view intraoperative endoscopic data can be combined for a multi-organ segmentation system.⁴ The stereoscopic medical video data, along with the incorporation of the camera motion
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prior to this system, enable more accurate minimally invasive surgery, by stabilizing the 3D organ segmentation, 3D tissue pose tracking and tissue deformation estimation.

Dynamic expansion of the original field-of-view, using optical flow information, has been applied to stereo endoscopic video data, captured from the peritoneal or thoracic cavity during Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery (NOTES),\(^5\) in a way similar to the one used in image mosaicing.\(^6\) In this case, projection on non-planar surfaces was also considered. Parallax correction, combined with holography fitting, enable optical flow estimation beyond the image boundaries. Real-time laparoscopic 3D tissue surface reconstruction has also been proposed for robotically assisted MIS and improved surgeon decision-making.\(^7\) The proposed 3D surface reconstruction is based on propagating a sparse set of stereo point correspondences, used as seeds, to correspondences of a semi-dense set of neighboring points of similar color, subject to their correlation scores. This method has been implemented in parallel.\(^7\)

As a consequence of their widely expanding use, quite a low of effort has been paid to the quality assessment of entertainment-oriented stereoscopic videos as well as to the devising of methods capable of fixing the most commonly encountered quality issues. Considering the way they approach stereoscopic video quality assessment, the devised methods vary to those originating from 2D-video quality assessment and modified in such ways that they can be applied to stereoscopic videos, to those specifically devised for stereoscopic videos and finally to methods based on the human visual system and perception.\(^8\) Among the main causes of stereoscopic video quality issues like stereoscopic window violation, focus and color mismatch, geometry distortion, time desynchronization, crosstalk and excessive or insufficient disparity, lie camera setup and synchronization,\(^9\) compression and rendering.\(^10\) Finally, it is worth mentioning that defects like the bent window effect, stereoscopic window violations and excessive disparity,\(^11\) can cause visual fatigue and discomfort,\(^10,12-14\) thus hindering the viewing process.

Video quality assessment methods for target recognition tasks (TRV) have also been thoroughly investigated\(^15,16\) in the recent years, and even standards concerning the procedure recommended for performing subjective evaluation experiments to this end have been proposed by the ITU-T.\(^17\) Two of the most representative examples of task-based video usage, not related to entertainment, are surveillance and medical videos for accurate target (e.g., event, object, person) detection and credible diagnosis prior/during surgery (e.g., bronchoscopy), respectively. However, both the conducted research and the proposed standards are oriented to 2D videos, mainly focusing on the perceived image quality, and on issues mostly related to it, like compression and transmission,\(^16,18,19\) not investigating the degree of
recognition effectiveness in these videos, as is the case with QART.\textsuperscript{20}

The aforementioned issues, motivated us to get involved with stereoscopic medical data and investigate the existence of quality defects in them more thoroughly. Some of the defects already mentioned are subsequently presented in detail and examples of their automatic detection\textsuperscript{11} are provided, using publicly available stereoscopic medical videos, as well as dental videos recorded at the School of Dentistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. Additionally, in the case of excessive disparity, the detected quality issues are fixed.

2 Stereoscopic video quality issues

In natural human vision, disparity refers to differences in the two object views, as perceived by the two eyes. In a stereoscopic image pair, composed of a left and a right video channel, a \textit{dense disparity map} that assigns a depth-related disparity value to each image pixel can be estimated from detected pixel correspondences between the two video channels,\textsuperscript{21} as shown in Figure 1. Two different disparity maps can be extracted from a single stereo image pair, as well as dental videos recorded at the School of Dentistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. Additionally, in the case of excessive disparity, the detected quality issues are fixed.
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associated with the left/right image channel, respectively. Figure 2 displays the simplest parallel stereo rig geometry. The left and right camera centers of projection are marked as \( \mathbf{O}_l \) and \( \mathbf{O}_r \), respectively, their projection planes as \( \mathcal{I}_l \), \( \mathcal{I}_r \), while \( \mathbf{P} \) and \( \mathbf{P}' \) denote two 3D world points. When captured by the stereo rig, these points project on the image.
point pairs $p_l$, $p_r$, and $p'_l$, $p'_r$, respectively. For each left/right-channel image point $p = [x, y]^T$, in pixel coordinates, the corresponding horizontal disparity values are $d_{x,y}^l \leq 0$ and $d_{x,y}^r = -d_{x,y}^l$, while vertical disparities are zero. The closer an imaged object lies to the cameras during image acquisition, the larger its disparity is in absolute value. In contrast, objects considered to be lying at infinity, i.e., positioned very far from the cameras, are projected on pixels with near-zero disparity. During video display, such objects appear in front of the display screen or, in the case of objects at infinity, on the display screen itself, as shown in Figure 3.

![Fig 2 Stereo image disparities.](image)

However, the stereo-pairs are typically processed, to allow a perceived placement of imaged objects, during video display, both in front of and behind the screen plane. Therefore, the disparity maps estimated from post-processed 3D visual content typically contain both positive and negative disparity values. Pixels associated with negative left disparity are to be displayed in front of the screen, pixels with positive left disparity are to be displayed behind the screen and pixels with zero disparity will be displayed on the screen plane itself.

The 3D world observation screen, also called Stereoscopic Window (SW), sets limitations to the 3D perception. Several problems may occur, stemming from the existence of screen edges, in combination with the projected object disparity values and the point to which each image is projected in space (i.e., the point where human eyes have to converge). Unpleasant effects may thus emerge, affecting viewing comfort and causing visual fatigue. Three representative examples, which can also be encountered in medical 3D data, are presented in short in the following
2.1 Stereoscopic window violation

As previously mentioned, the 3D viewing space is defined by the screen edges and the relative positions of the viewer eyes. Due to the disparities between the positions of displayed corresponding left and right image pixels, though, pixels located near the screen side borders in one of the two images may not correspond to pixels in the other one, as shown in Figure 1. Indicative image pixels having no correspondence in the other image channel are depicted by ×. This effect causes retinal rivalry and occurs only for points with disparity not equal to zero. Objects appearing near the image edges are, therefore, cut off by the screen borders and interpreted by the brain as lying behind them, thus being occluded by them (i.e., by the screen). However, this depth cue is at odds with the one stemming from disparity, which suggests that they are positioned in front of the screen plane. This stereo perception discrepancy is widely known as a Stereoscopic Window Violation (SWV) and, apart from being annoying, may also lead to eye strain, visual discomfort and/or loss of depth perception, since the image pair cannot be fused to a single image. This effect can only be ignored when fast moving objects enter or leave the scene, as their position in space has not yet been decided by the brain at the time the violation occurs.

Stereoscopic window violations are detected using the disparity map of each video frame pair. The method begins by identifying the disparity map regions displayed significantly in front of the screen, by detecting image
segments and comparing their mean disparity values with a predefined threshold. A rectangular Region of Interest (ROI) that is represented by its upper left and lower right corner coordinates, is then employed in order to enclose the detected objects. The violation presence is decided based on the ROI position with respect to the image borders. Thus, if such a ROI lies on the left border of the right image, a left stereoscopic window violation occurs. Similarly, right SWVs occur when a ROI lies on the right border of the left image. Two representative examples of left and right stereoscopic window violations are presented in Figure 1a and b, respectively.

2.2 Bent window effect

The bent window (BW) effect is encountered when objects projected in front of the screen, extend vertically across the entire video frame and are cut off by the top and bottom screen borders, as shown in Figure 4. However, this would normally happen, only if they laid behind the screen. The cues perceived by the brain are, thus, rather contradictory, causing visual discomfort and a feeling that the middle section of the screen is bent towards the viewer,\textsuperscript{11} so that both disparity and upper/lower screen border occlusion can be reconciled by the brain.\textsuperscript{14} Even though each border violation causes different visual discomfort, they all do affect depth perception to a great extent. While the violations occurring at the left and right edges are the most distracting, as in the case of SWV, those occurring at the lower and mostly at the upper edge tend to affect 3D perception as well.

![Fig 4 Bent window effect.](image)

Bent window effects are detected in a way similar to the one used for stereoscopic window violation detection.\textsuperscript{11} Objects having significant disparity are detected, their disparity values are compared to an appropriate threshold and their respective ROIs are defined. ROIs touching both the top and the bottom image edges are thus considered to enclose objects causing the bent window effect.
2.3 Excessive Disparity

One last quality defect observed in stereoscopic videos is the so-called excessive disparity. As can be easily deduced by its name, it is closely related to large horizontal disparity values. Our eyes are positioned in parallel to one another at a distance of approximately $2.5 \text{ cm}$, as shown in Figure 3. If the disparity of the displayed objects is excessive, as in the case of point $P$ in Figure 3, the object is perceived to be outside the so-called comfort zone, thus causing eye fatigue due to excessive eye convergence. Therefore, moderate disparity values are in general desirable. Otherwise, 3D perception deteriorates, viewer discomfort emerges and, in extreme cases of excessive disparity, double-vision arises and 3D perception is entirely lost. The most common reasons for excessive disparity are inadequate camera calibration and extreme zooming in.

Fig 5 (a) Excessive disparity (b) fixed excessive disparity.

Excessive disparity can be easily detected, simply by displaying the 3D content onto the screen it is aimed for, and can be automatically or semi-automatically fixed with the aid even of freely available existing tools, like Stereo Photo Maker, which allows both manual image alignment and automatic alignment, based on the SIFT algorithm.
order to extract corresponding points of interest from the images to be aligned. A sample dental stereo image pair with excessive disparity before and after its automatic fixing with Stereo Photo Maker is presented in Figures 5a and b, respectively.\textsuperscript{14}

3 Experiments

3.1 Objective stereoscopic video quality assessment

The aforementioned quality issue detectors were applied to the publicly available Hamlyn Centre Laparoscopic/Endoscopic video data sets, consisting of in vivo patient data sets and validation data sets.\textsuperscript{1} Sample stereo video frame pairs along with their respective disparity maps are presented in Figures 6 and 7.

![Fig 6 Tissue-tool interaction in abdomen.](image)

Twenty five videos from the validation data set, with resolutions ranging from \(320 \times 240\) to \(720 \times 288\), were evaluated and their evaluation results are presented in Table 1. In brief, both left and right stereoscopic window violations were detected in the vast majority of the videos, 92.0\% and 96.0\%, respectively, while just 4.0\% of the videos were proven not to exhibit quality issues. The bent window effect was not detected so often, as only 52.0\% of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality issue</th>
<th>Appearance percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>left swv</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right swv</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bw</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no quality issue</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>only left swv + right swv</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left swv + right swv + bw</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the examined videos were found having this effect. All three issues were encountered in 52.0% of the videos and just 40.0% of the videos were found to have only left and right stereoscopic violations.

3.2 Subjective stereoscopic video quality evaluation

After ascertaining that stereoscopic medical data do exhibit quality issues, subjective psycho-physical experiments were conducted, aiming to the identification of the effects these issues have both on the video viewers and on the recognition tasks themselves.

The videos used for the subjective evaluation were 2 clips from the dental operation we recorded, as well as 3 clips from the Hamlyn Centre Laparoscopic/Endoscopic data set. As far as the dental video clips is concerned, their original, and disparity corrected 3D versions were presented, while one laparoscopic video with no defects, one with stereoscopic window violations and a third one in which both stereoscopic window violations and bent window were detected, were chosen. Stereoscopic as well as non-stereoscopic versions of all the videos were used for the subjective evaluation.

30 undergraduate, post-graduate, and Ph.D. candidate students participated in the evaluation process, half of them studying at the Department of Informatics and half at the Department of Dentistry of Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. The sample was purposely chosen in this way, so that feedback from equal numbers of subjects of
different expertise levels on 3D video quality and medical imaging for recognition tasks could be acquired. Both male and female subjects participated in the subjective evaluation, and they all had normal or corrected to normal vision.

During the experiment, the participants were shown pairs of the 2D version of each of the 5 videos we chose followed by the corresponding 3D version, while in the cases of the dental videos, a triplet consisting of the non-stereoscopic video, the original stereoscopic recording and finally the stereoscopic video after the excessive disparity adjustment was presented. After watching all the versions of the each video, the participants were asked to fill in a questionnaire, with questions mainly focusing on the adverse symptoms usually induced by 3D viewing as well as on the subjective attributes that could be used to express their impressions concerning to the videos. Finally, the subjects were asked whether they preferred the stereoscopic or the non-stereoscopic version of each video.

Some questions not related to the presented videos were also included in the questionnaire filled in by the participants in the subjective evaluation. These additional questions aimed at the induction of their emotional and cognitive state, i.e., their attitude towards the content of the videos they were going to watch, towards new technologies, especially stereoscopy, as well as their familiarity with it. The reason for the inclusion of these questions is that such factors have been shown to affect the subjects’ judgment.

Table 2 summarizes the physiological sensations included in our questionnaire along with the percentage of the users that reported experiencing each one of them while watching our dental operation video clips. In brief, adverse symptoms seem to be encountered during watching stereoscopic videos with excessive disparity to a greater extent. They subside when disparity values are appropriately adjusted, not getting eliminated, though, while the fact that symptoms are also mentioned for non-stereoscopic video watching could be regarded as incidental and maybe content related.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>2D</th>
<th>3D</th>
<th>Fixe 3D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General discomfort</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye stain</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double images</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focusing difficulties</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As far as the attributes used in the comparison of the tree conditions is concerned, it can be easily notices from Table 3 that non-stereoscopy tends to be regarded as ordinary and boring by the majority of the participants, while
stereoscopic data exhibiting quality defects induce unpleasant reactions/effects. On the contrary, when the quality issues are fixed, stereoscopy becomes interesting and allows a more detailed scene depiction, making the viewers perceive depth very well and feel as if they are part of the scene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Subjective attributes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tiring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ordinary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more details noticeable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weird feeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depth impression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interesting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the existence of some of the most commonly encountered stereoscopic data quality issues in the more and more widely used stereoscopic medical data, recorded before or during medical operations. To this end, both objective and subjective quality evaluation methods were employed. The conducted experiments proved that such defects do appear in stereoscopic medical data and highlighted the need for their detection and fixing, as they impinge not only on the appropriateness of the data for the recognition tasks they are aimed at, but also on the doctors’ viewing experience, confusing their visual system and inducing adverse physiological sensations.
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