Recognition of facial expressions in presence of partial occlusion
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Abstract. Nowadays, both computer vision researchers and psychology experts show an increased interest for human facial expression analysis. Despite the huge amount of research that has been dedicated to this area, almost all of them concern data recorded in controlled laboratory conditions, which does not always reflect the real world environment in which the human face is partially occluded. Six basic facial expressions are investigated in that case, i.e. when the eyes and eyebrows or the mouth regions are left out. We are interested in finding which part of the face comprised sufficient information with respect to the entire face, in order to correctly classify these six expressions. Each image from the two databases used is convolved with a set of Gabor filters having various orientations and frequencies. The new feature vectors are classified using a maximum correlation classifier and the cosine similarity measure approaches. Overall, the method provides robustness against partial occlusion.

1 Introduction

The non verbal communication systems, such as the facial expression mechanism have seized an increased interest not only from a psychological perspective point of view but also from the computer vision researchers who try to develop a complex human-computer interface that is capable of automatically recognizing and classifying the human expressions or emotions. Biometrics is another area where facial expressions seem to have an impact. A person is identified by determining the authenticity of a specific physiological or behavioral characteristic possessed by a user under different various face expressions. This task encounters many difficulties, as it has to overcome the difficulties that appear when the human face is under different environmental pose conditions (different illumination conditions, emotion intensity variation, etc). Furthermore, the quantification of emotion is something quite ambiguous, as there is no clear definition of pure emotion. More precisely, a particular emotion is a combination of several facial expressions that can be coded according to, for instance, the Facial Action Coding System (FACS), which is built by a set of parameters called action units (AUs), that are used to define measurements of appearance changes in the face [3].
Much work has been done on facial expression recognition. Approaches to automatic facial expression analysis attempt to recognize either a small set of prototypic emotional facial expressions (anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, surprise) [10] or a larger set of facial actions (AUs) [2]. A survey on automatic facial expression analysis can be found in [4].

Although promising results have been reported on facial expression analysis, the experiments have been conducted in controlled laboratory conditions which do not always reflect the real-world conditions. For example, it is a quite common case that the face is occluded by a scarf or sunglasses, causing the classifier accuracy to decrease. Despite the importance of building an automatic facial expression classifier capable to cope with occluded faces, there is no much research in this regard. Recognition of facial expressions in the presence of occlusion is investigated in the paper [1]. The approach is based on localized representation of facial expression feature, and on fusion of classifier outputs. Facial points are automatically tracked over an image sequence, and used to represent a face model. The classification of facial expressions is then performed by using decision level fusion that combines a local interpretation of the face model and a general classification score.

The purpose of the work presented in this paper is to simulate this scenario and to perform experiments in order to determine the part of the face that contains the most discriminative information for facial expression recognition.

2 Data description

The experiments have been performed using two databases. For the first database, called Japanese female facial expression (JAFFE) [8], ten expressers posed 3 or 4 examples of each of the 6 basic facial expressions (anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, surprise) plus neutral pose, for a total of 213 images of facial expressions. A second database have been derived from Cohn-Kanade AU-coded facial expression database [6] that contains single or combined action units. Facial action (action units) has been converted into emotions according to [11]. A number of thirteen subjects have been chosen to form the second database. Each subject expresses six basic emotions and each emotion has 3 intensities. Therefore, the total number of samples that forms the second database is 234.

Each original image $x$ has been aligned with respect to the upper left corner. We superimposed a black rectangle around the eyes and mouth regions to partially occlude the face, and cropped each occluded image and downsampled it afterwards, in a such way that its final size was $80 \times 60$ pixels. Figure 1 presents one expresser from JAFFE database and one from Cohn-Kanade database, respectively, posing 7 facial expressions (emotions) and the corresponding images that were formed for the eyes occlusion case.

3 System description

The block diagram of the method proposed in the paper is described in the Figure 2.
3.1 Feature extraction

We used 2D Gabor wavelets for feature extraction since the Gabor wavelet-based method can achieve high sensitivity for facial expression classification and give the best reported results [2], [8], [9], [12]. Moreover, recent studies have shown that Gabor elementary functions are suitable for modeling simple cells in visual cortex [7]. We applied Gabor filters (GF) to the entire face area instead of using specific regions, avoiding to manually select the interested regions in order to extract facial features.

A 2D Gabor wavelet transform is defined as the convolution of the image $I(z)$:

$$J_k(z) = \int \int I(z) \psi_k(z - z') dz$$

with a family of Gabor filters [13]:

$$\psi_k(z) = \frac{k^2}{\sigma^2} \exp(-\frac{k^2}{2\sigma^2}x^2) \left( \exp(i k x) - \exp(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \right),$$

where $z = (x, y)$ and $k$ is the characteristic wave vector:

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} k_x \cos \varphi \mu \\ k_y \sin \varphi \mu \end{pmatrix},$$
with
\[ k_\nu = 2^{-\nu+2}\pi, \; \varphi_\mu = \mu\frac{\pi}{8}. \] (3)

The parameters \( \nu \) and \( \mu \) define the frequency and orientation of the filter. In our implementation, we used four orientations 0, \( \frac{\pi}{4} \), \( \frac{\pi}{2} \), \( \frac{3\pi}{4} \) and two frequency ranges: high frequencies (hfr) with \( \nu = 0, 1, 2 \) and low frequencies (lfr) with \( \nu = 2, 3, 4 \).

In the beginning, a new feature vector is formed by convolution of the \( 80 \times 60 \) image \( x \) with 12 Gabor filters corresponding to high frequency range and orientation, and then downsampled to an image of \( 20 \times 15 \) pixels and scanned row by row in order to form a vector of dimension \( 1 \times 300 \) for each Gabor filter. The magnitude of Gabor representation, was the only result used, as it varies slowly with the position, while the phases are quite sensitive. The 12 outputs have been concatenated to form a new longer feature vector \( s \) of dimension \( 1 \times 3600 \). The same procedure has been followed for the low frequency range.

### 3.2 Classification procedure

The 6 basic facial expressions plus the neutral pose, form 7 classes. Let us denote the classes by \( \mathcal{L}_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 7 \). The label \( \mathcal{L}_j \) is denoted by \( l_j \). Hence, \( \mathcal{L} = \{ \text{anger, disgust, fear happiness, neutral, sadness, surprise} \} \). In the classical classification problem, we construct a classifier where the output (predicted value) of the classifier for a test sample \( s_{\text{test}} \), is \( p_j \). The classifier accuracy is defined as \( \#\{l(s_{\text{test}}) = p(s_{\text{test}})\} \). Once we
have formed the 7 classes of the new feature vectors (or prototype samples) two kinds of classifiers are employed in order to classify a new test sample:

1. **Cosine similarity measure (CSM).** This approach is based on the nearest neighbor rule and uses as criterion the angle between a test sample and a prototype one. We compute the quantity:

   \[ CSM = \arg \min_{j=1,...,7} \{d_j\} \]  

   where

   \[ d_j = \frac{s_{test}s_j^T}{\|s_{test}\|\|s_j\|} \]  

   and \( d_j \) are the cosines of the angle between a test sample \( s_{test} \) and the prototype one \( s_j \).

2. **Maximum correlation classifier (MCC).** The second classifier is a minimum Euclidean distance classifier. The Euclidean distance from \( s_{test} \) to \( s_j \) is expressed as

   \[ \|s_{test} - s_j\|^2 = -2[s_j{s}_{test}^T - \frac{1}{2}\|s_j\|^2] + s_{test}s_{test}^T \]

   \[ = -2h_j(s_{test}) + s_{test}s_{test}^T, \]  

   where \( h_j(s_{test}) \) is a linear discriminant function of \( s_{test} \). A test image is classified by this classifier by computing seven linear discriminant functions and choosing:

   \[ MCC = \arg \max_{j=1,...,7} \{h_j(s_{test})\} \]  

4 **Experimental results and discussion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>No occlusion</th>
<th>Mouth occluded</th>
<th>Eyes occluded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>low fr</td>
<td>high fr</td>
<td>low fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAFFE</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohn-Kanade</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Classifier accuracy in percentage (%) with no occlusion, mouth and eyes region occluded for both classifiers and Gabor filters with low and high frequency range.
Since the database is limited, the classifier accuracy is measured using the leave-one-out strategy which makes the most of the available data used and produces averaged results as well. Table 1 shows the experimental results with no occlusion, as well as for mouth and eyes region occluded for both classifiers and Gabor filters with low and high frequency range. The highest accuracy concerning the experiments of the occluded face regions has been achieved using Gabor filters with low frequency range. However, the same conclusion is derived when the experiments have been conducted with no occlusion images. More precisely, for the JAFFE database, the accuracy is almost the same, regardless of the occluded region, while for the Cohn-Kanade derived database it turns out that the eyes region is not as important in terms of class separability, as the mouth region, since in the latter case the classifier accuracy is decreased more when the eyes are occluded.

In order to verify this result and have some knowledge about the inter-class and intra-class dispersion, we measured the class separability by computing the within-class scatter matrix $S_W$, the between-class scatter matrix $S_B$ and the mixture scatter matrix $S_M = S_W + S_B$ corresponding to each class [5]. The criterion used for the class separability measurement was $J = \text{trace}(S_M) / \text{trace}(S_W)$. This number is large when $S_B$ is dispersed or the scatter of $S_W$ is small.

In the following matrices, the results have the following coding:

- **EA** occluding Eyes Area
- **MA** occluding Mouth Area
- **HFRG** applying High Frequency Range Gabor filtering
- **LFRG** applying Low Frequency Range Gabor filtering
- **EAHFRG** occluding Eyes Area and applying High Frequency Range Gabor filtering
- **MAHFRG** occluding Mouth Area and applying High Frequency Range Gabor filtering
- **EALFRG** occluding Eyes Area and applying Low Frequency Range Gabor filtering
- **MALFRG** occluding Mouth Area and applying Low Frequency Range Gabor filtering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jaffe database experiments</th>
<th>J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.0767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>1.0937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRG</td>
<td>1.1470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFRG</td>
<td>1.1578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAHFRG</td>
<td>1.0889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAHFRG</td>
<td>1.1274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EALFRG</td>
<td>1.1083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALFRG</td>
<td>1.1368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Class seperability measurement for Jaffe database.
Table 3. Class separability measurement for Cohn-Kanade database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohn-Kanade database experiments</th>
<th>( J )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.0409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>1.0256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRG</td>
<td>1.0655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFRG</td>
<td>1.0779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAHFRG</td>
<td>1.0590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAHFRG</td>
<td>1.0408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EALFRG</td>
<td>1.0674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALFRG</td>
<td>1.0428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above matrices, we can see that the results produced are consistent with the ones shown in Table 1. More precisely, the recognition accuracy achieved when low frequency range Gabor filters are used, is greater than that when applying high frequency range Gabor filtering. For example, in Jaffe database, \( J \) is equal to 1.1274, for the results produced using high frequency range Gabor filters when occluding the mouth area, and is less than the equivalent \( J \) computed for the low frequencies, 1.1368. The results derived from the experiments for the Cohn-Kanade database are in agreement with the assumptions made above (where \( J \) is equal to 1.0408 and 1.0428 for the case of mouth area occluding and applying high and low frequency range Gabor filtering, respectively).

5 Conclusion

Facial expression recognition in the presence of mouth and eyes occlusion has been investigated to determine the part of the face that contains the most discriminative information for emotion classification task. The feature vectors that have been extracted from the original images by convolving them with a set of Gabor filters are classified by using maximum correlation classifier and cosine similarity measure.

We compared the classification results data with the equivalent data when no occlusion is observed to find that, overall, the system is robust against partial occlusion of a face. The accuracy achieved when partial occlusion is observed, is almost the same for the different cases of occlusion (eyes area or mouth area occlusion), while it turns out to be greater if low frequency range Gabor filtering is used.
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