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ABSTRACT

The efficient search and retrieval of the increasing volume of stereoscopic videos drives the need for the semantic description of its content. The derivation of disparity (depth) information from stereoscopic content allows the extraction of semantic information that is inherent to 3D. The purpose of this paper is to propose algorithms for semantically characterizing the motion of an object or groups of objects along any of the X, Y, Z axes. Experimental results are also provided.

Index Terms—Semantic labelling, stereo video, motion characterization.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, the number of produced 3D movies and 3D (stereoscopic) video content in general has been growing significantly. Indeed, a large number of 3D movies have been released and some of them, such as Avatar [11] were huge box-office hits. This, along with the increasing penetration of 3DTV sets in the market, have boosted the delivery of 3D productions, such as movies and documentaries to home through 3DTV channels or Blu-ray disks [3]. Since 3DTV content is now widely available, it must be semantically described towards its archiving, fast search and retrieval. Object motion characterization, in the world (video acquisition) space is an important type of semantic description that one can derive. In this paper, we focus on 3D motion description in stereo video content and propose algorithms for semantic labelling of human, object or object groups motion. Typically, stereo video is shot with a stereo camera, whose parameters include the focal length and the baseline [5], and displays objects residing and moving in the world space \((X_w, Y_w, Z_w)\). We utilize the depth information which is implicitly available through disparity estimation between the left and right views and examine various cases, where camera calibration information may or may not be available. For example, we can characterize video segments where an object approaches the camera or where two objects approach each other in the real world. Such characterization is not possible in classical single view video, without resorting to other side information to get 3D position/motion clues [5]. The derived semantic description is useful in various applications, such as 3D/3DTV video archival and retrieval. The paper extends the work in [7] by including the study of motion captured by calibrated cameras.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In section 2 algorithms for characterizing object and object groups motion are proposed. In section 3 experimental results for motion characterization are presented. Finally, in section 4 concluding remarks are given.

2. SEMANTIC OBJECT MOTION DESCRIPTION

In this section, we will present a set of methods for characterizing object motion in stereo video. In our approach, an object e.g., an actor’s face in a movie or the ball in a football game, is represented by two regions of interest (ROI, bounding box) in the left and right video frames. Stereo tracking can be performed as well for improved performance [13]. A rectangular ROI can be represented by two points \(p_1 [x_{left}, y_{top}]^T\) and \(p_2 [x_{right}, y_{bottom}]^T\) namely its upper left and lower right corners. It must be noted that, in most cases, camera parameters are unknown. In such cases, object motion characterization is based only on object ROI position and motion in the left and right image planes.

Object disparity can be evaluated inside the ROI by using a disparity estimation algorithm [12] that generates dense or sparse disparity maps [10]. Such maps can be used to obtain an ‘average’ object disparity, e.g. by averaging image disparity over the object ROI [7]. Alternatively, gross object disparity estimation can be a byproduct of the tracking algorithm based e.g. on left/right view SIFT point correspondences [2].
Table 1. Labels characterizing movement of an object.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>negative</th>
<th>positive</th>
<th>close to zero</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal movement</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>still horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical movement</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>still vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement along the depth axis</td>
<td>backward</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>still depth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the proposed object motion characterization algorithms, a ROI is represented by its center coordinates along \( x \) and \( y \) axis, its width and height (if needed) and an overall ‘average’ disparity value. In order to obtain a less noisy overall object disparity value from the object ROI, we first use a pixel trimming process, in order to discard pixels that do not belong to the object, since the ROI may contain, apart from the object, background pixels. Pixel trimming first computes the mean disparity \( d_m \) using all pixels inside a central region within the ROI. A pixel within the ROI is retained for subsequent calculations, only when its disparity value is in the range \([d_m-a, d_m+a]\), where \(a\) is an appropriately chosen threshold. Our experiments showed that a value of \(a=5\) is effective for most small sized objects such as faces. Then, the trimmed mean disparity value \(\tilde{d}_m\) of the retained pixels is computed.

2.1. Object motion characterization

We examine the case of a parallel camera setup. If the camera parameters (the focal length \( f \) and baseline \( T_c \)) are known, the world space coordinates \((X_w, Y_w, Z_w)\) of a point can be recovered from its left \((p^l_i = [x^l_i, y^l_i]^T)\) and right \((p^r_i = [x^r_i, y^r_i]^T)\) frame projections, as follows:

\[
Z_w = -\frac{fT_c}{d_c}, \quad (1)
\]

\[
X_w = -\frac{T_c(x^l_i + x^r_i)}{2d_c}, \quad Y_w = -\frac{T_c y^l_i}{d_c} = -\frac{T_c y^r_i}{d_c} \quad (2)
\]

where \(d_c = x^r_i - x^l_i\) is the stereo disparity. If the stereo camera parameters are known, then the true 3D object position in the world coordinates can be found, using (1), (2) for the object ROI center. In order to characterize object motion with unknown camera parameters, they are ignored, i.e. both \(f\) and \(T_c\) are set to 1 since they do not affect the direction of motion in each axis. Furthermore, we examine the motion separately on the \(x\) and \(y\) axes in the image plane and in the depth space using object disparities. Specifically, we use the \(x\) and \(y\) coordinates of the ROI center \([x_{\text{center}}(t), y_{\text{center}}(t)]^T\) in both channels within (2) for evaluating horizontal and vertical object position and the trimmed mean disparity value \(\tilde{d}_a\) within (1) for evaluation the object’s position along the depth axis over a number of consecutive video frames. To perform motion characterization, we use first a moving average filter of appropriate length, in order to smooth the signals \(X_w(t)\), \(Y_w(t)\), \(Z_w(t)\) over time. Then, the filtered signal is approximated, using, e.g., a linear piece-wise approximation method. The output of the above process is a sequence of linear segments, where the slope of each linear segment indicates the respective object motion type, such as left/right, up/down or backward/forward (in depth) movement. Depending on whether the slope has a negative, positive or close to zero value, respective movement labels can be assigned for each movement, as shown in Table 1. The duration of a specific motion type is defined by the respective linear segment duration. If too short linear segments are found (a few frames) they can be regarded as noise.

2.2. Motion characterization of object groups

Two (or more) objects or persons may approach or move away from each other. For the motion characterization of groups of objects, we shall examine two different cases, depending on whether camera calibration data are known or not.

2.2.1. Uncalibrated cameras

If camera parameters are not available, 3D world coordinates cannot be computed. Thus, group object motion can only be labelled independently along the spatial (image) \(x, y\) axes and along the ‘depth’ axis (using the trimmed average disparity values). For the \(i\)th object and a number of consecutive video frames \((1 \ldots N)\), the ROI center coordinates of the left and right channels are combined into:

\[
X^i_{\text{center}}(t) = \frac{x^i_{\text{center}}(t) + x^i_{\text{center}}(t)}{2\tilde{d}_{ai}}, \quad Y^i_{\text{center}}(t) = \frac{y^i_{\text{center}}(t)}{\tilde{d}_{ai}}.
\]

The Euclidean distances between two objects \(i,j\) located at

\[
p^i = [X^i_{\text{center}}, Y^i_{\text{center}}]^T \quad \text{and} \quad p^j = [X^j_{\text{center}}, Y^j_{\text{center}}]^T
\]

having the respective disparity values \(\tilde{d}_{ai}\) and \(\tilde{d}_{aj}\) are computed as follows:

\[
D_{xy}(t) = \left( (X^i_{\text{center}}(t) - X^j_{\text{center}}(t))^2 + (Y^i_{\text{center}}(t) - Y^j_{\text{center}}(t))^2 \right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
D_{d}(t) = \left[ (\tilde{d}_{ai}(t) - \tilde{d}_{aj}(t))^2 \right]^{1/2}.
\]
Table 2. Labels characterizing the 3D motion of object ensembles without using calibration parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slope value</th>
<th>xy movement</th>
<th>Depth movement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>approaching xy</td>
<td>approaching depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>moving away xy</td>
<td>moving away depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close to zero</td>
<td>equidistant xy</td>
<td>equidistant depth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, depending on whether the linear segment slope has a negative, positive or close to zero value, a movement label, such as approaching or moving away in the xy (image) plane, can be assigned, as shown in Table 2.

Even in the absence of camera parameters, disparity information can help in inferring the relative motion of two objects in the 3D space in certain cases: if both $D_{xy}$ and $D_d$ decrease/increase, the objects come closer/move away in the 3D space. However, in such a case no Euclidean distance (e.g. in meters) can be found.

The same procedure can be extended to the case of more than two objects: we can characterize whether their geometrical positions converge or diverge. To do so, we can find the dispersion of their positions vs their overall center of gravity in the $xy$ domain ($X_{center}$ and $Y_{center}$) and in the 'depth' domain ($d_{\alpha}$):

$$D_{xy}(t) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( (X_{center}^i(t) - X_{center}(t))^2 + (Y_{center}^i(t) - Y_{center}(t))^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

$$D_d(t) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\bar{d}_{\alpha i}(t) - \bar{d}_{\alpha}(t))^2 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (6)$$

and then perform the above mentioned smoothing and linear piece-wise approximation.

2.2.2. Calibrated cameras

When camera calibration parameters are available, the world coordinates $[X_w, Y_w, Z_w]^T$ of an object, that is described by the respective ROI center $[x_{center}, y_{center}]^T$ and trimmed mean disparity value $\bar{d}_{\alpha}$, can be computed by using (1) and (2). Consequently, the actual distance between two objects, which are represented by the two points $[X_1^w, Y_1^w, Z_1^w]$ and $[X_2^w, Y_2^w, Z_2^w]$, can be calculated by using the Euclidean distance in the 3D space:

$$D(t) = \left[ (X_1^w(t) - X_2^w(t))^2 + (Y_1^w(t) - Y_2^w(t))^2 + (Z_1^w(t) - Z_2^w(t))^2 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

Then, the same approach using smoothing and linear piece-wise approximation can be used for characterizing the motion of two objects with labels "approaching", "moving away" and "equidistant" when the slope of $D$ is negative, positive and zero, respectively.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To evaluate the proposed method we performed experiments on a set of stereo videos recorded with a stereo camera with known calibration parameters, i.e. a camera with parallel geometry, a focal length of 34.4 mm and baseline equal to 140 mm. In each video two persons are walking. The produced dataset consists of three different categories of persons' trajectories.

![Example frames and trajectories](image)

Fig. 1. Example frames and the trajectories of the persons for the first category of videos.

In the first category of videos the subjects stand facing each other and start walking parallel to the camera, approaching one another up to the middle of the path and then moving away. In Figure 1, three representative frames of such a video and a diagram which shows the trajectories of the persons on the $xz$ plane (top view) are displayed.

In the second category of videos (Figure 2), the persons walk diagonally, following an X-shaped path. Again, the two subjects are approaching one another on their way up to the
middle of the path and then start moving away.

![Fig. 2. Example frames and the trajectories of the persons for the second category of videos.](image)

In the third category of videos, the subjects follow an elliptical path as depicted in Figure 3. In the beginning they stand at the major axis of the ellipse and start moving clockwise. For a small number of frames their distance is almost constant and their movement can be considered as equidistant. Then, moving up to the minor axis of the ellipse they are approaching one another and afterwards they start moving away. Reaching again the major axis their distance remains almost constant again for a small time period and their movement can again be considered equidistant. Continuing their movement they start approaching and then moving away until they reach their initial positions.

![Fig. 3. Example frames and the trajectories of the persons for the third category of videos.](image)

Disparity maps for each of the videos described above were extracted by using the algorithm [4] that is part of the OpenCV library [1]. A typical example of a left and right frame of the video with the respective disparity maps is presented in Figure 4. The two persons were tracked by using the tracking algorithm described in [13], applied separately on each channel.

![Fig. 4. Sample video frames and their disparity maps.](image)

The unknown camera parameters case was examined by performing single object motion characterization on the woman in the video depicted in Figure 5. The focal length and camera baseline values were set to 1 \((f = 1 \text{ and } T_r = 1)\). The results for the characterization along the \(X\) axis are shown in Figure 5.
Fig. 5. (a) Trajectory calculated by our method, (b) the result of linear approximation, and (c) the generated labels for the movement along the $X$ axis for the video depicted in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start frame</th>
<th>End frame</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>still</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>still</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. (a) Distances in 3D space calculated by our method, (b) the result of linear approximation, and (c) the generated labels for the video depicted in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start frame</th>
<th>End frame</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>approaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>equidistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>moving away</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For all three videos depicted in Figures 1-3, object motion characterization with known parameters was performed. For the video in Figure 1, the distances calculated, the output of the linear approximation process and the derived labels are shown in Figure 6. Every line segment represents one of the three possible relative movements, “approaching”, “moving away” and “equidistant”. Results for videos in Figures 2 and 3 are shown in Figures 7 and 8 respectively.

By comparing the movement of persons in the three different cases with the computed results one can see that the method works properly.

**Fig. 7.** (a) Distances in 3D space calculated by our method, (b) the result of linear approximation, and (c) the generated labels for the video depicted in Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start frame</th>
<th>End frame</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>approaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>equidistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>moving away</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 8.** (a) Distances in 3D space calculated by our method, (b) the result of linear approximation, and (c) the generated labels for the video depicted in Figure 3.
4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an algorithm is presented that characterizes an object's motion in stereo video content along the horizontal, vertical and depth axis and assigns labels such as moving left/right or backwards/forward. In addition, approaches for characterizing the relative movement (approaching, moving away) of objects in the 3D space (when calibration parameters are available) or in the $xy$ (image) plane and the depth dimension (when no calibration parameters are available) are presented. The proposed algorithms make use of disparity information derived from the stereoscopic videos.
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